3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff , 4) CASE NUMBER: 8:25-cr-00006-LKG vs. 5 THOMAS C. GOLDSTEIN, Defendant. 6 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - MOTIONS HEARING/FARETTA HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY J. SULLIVAN 9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Monday, February 10, 2025 10 Greenbelt, Maryland 11 APPEARANCES 12 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: BY: PATRICK KIBBE, ESQUIRE 13 OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 6406 Ivy Lane, Suite 800 14 Greenbelt, Maryland 20770 15 BY: STANLEY OKULA, ESQUIRE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - TAX DIVISION 16 150 M Street, N.E., Room 2.142 Washington, DC 20002 17 THE DEFENDANT, appearing pro se 18 19 20 Also Present: 21 Adam Smith, Office of Pretrial Services 22 23 24 ***Proceedings recorded by FTR GOLD*** 25 Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

SOUTHERN DIVISION

1

2

PROCEEDINGS

(2:39 p.m.)

2.4

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. Have a seat. Government, call the case, please.

MR. KIBBE: Calling the case of United States v.

Thomas C. Goldstein; Criminal Action Number LKG-25-6. We're here for the purpose of a hearing on the Government's motion for revocation of the release order. Good afternoon, Your Honor. Assistant United States Attorney Patrick Kibbe, along with senior litigation counsel Stanley Okula from the Department of Justice Tax Division on behalf of the Government.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Good morning -- good afternoon.

Mr. Goldstein, good afternoon.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: With us is Officer Smith from Pretrial.

PRETRIAL OFFICER SMITH: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: So what brings us together today is the following: So the Government presented a ex parte motion for an arrest warrant and to revoke Mr. Goldstein's conditions of release. I granted that motion this morning and apparently, Mr. Goldstein was taken into custody.

We're here for basically two reasons today. One is to explain to Mr. Goldstein what is happening in that I revoked

his conditions of release; and two is we have an Attorney
Inquiry Hearing Wednesday about the unsettled status of
counsel for Mr. Goldstein whether he's retaining, whether he's
representing himself, whether he wants to apply for
court-appointed counsel if he's financially unable to afford
counsel.

Mr. Reed, who I see here entered an appearance today; however, it's again, a special appearance limited, just like Mr. Berman, just like Mr. Lauro. There is nothing in the local rules or the federal rules that I'm aware of that allow lawyers to jump into federal criminal cases on a piecemeal fashion. So I recognize that Mr. Reed has filed what he's filed, but I'm happy that he's not sitting at counsel table because I was going to tell him that he had to step back, in any event.

So I've revoked your conditions of release. You have -I don't think we have to have a hearing on it. If you want to
have a hearing, you can -- I'm sure you don't have the ability
now to present whatever it is you want to present, maybe you
do.

But let me hear from the Government about what it thinks is going on today because I really -- one of the things I plan on resolving is Mr. Goldstein's counsel status and if we have to have a Faretta hearing, we'll have a Faretta hearing.

Mr. Kibbe?

MR. KIBBE: Thank you, Your Honor. That was the first point that I was going to bring up is if Mr. Goldstein wants to represent himself today, then perhaps a Faretta hearing would be appropriate to make sure Mr. Goldstein understands, and I'm sure he does, but everything that goes along with that to protect his Sixth Amendment and Fifth Amendment rights.

And then I'm happy at any point if Your Honor would like

And then I'm happy at any point if Your Honor would like to go through the Government's motion, the facts of the motion, the exhibits of the motion. I'll leave that to the Court's discretion.

THE COURT: So Mr. Goldstein, let me ask you this:

Have you had an opportunity to review the Government's motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sure you disagree with it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: What are you doing about an attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm representing myself pro se. I'm happy to have a Faretta hearing. It's not required. Faretta applies at critical stages of the proceeding.

THE COURT: Right.

THE DEFENDANT: Hearing on bail conditions isn't a critical stage of the proceedings, but I don't mind. If you want to do it for avoidance of doubt and also I do -- I

actually do believe that there is -- the Government having put on evidence, and submitted a brief, that there is a right to be able to put on evidence and present argument and I want to do that.

THE COURT: Right. And I'm cognizant of the fact that you are kind of at a disadvantage because the Government made a proffer, I granted the motion, granted the arrest warrant and you really haven't had a fulsome or any opportunity to say the Government is wrong, that these aren't your cryptocurrency wallets, they belong to somebody else, there's whatever, whatever, and I recognize that.

And again, the posture that we're in is I put you out on conditions of release. And pretrial filed a notice of apparent violation. Have you seen that?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Smith, give Mr. Goldstein --

THE DEFENDANT: Is it based on the same thing, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yeah. But you should really look at it and have a copy of it.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, I understand it.

THE COURT: All right. So look, I do appreciate the irony of going through what -- and I do believe at least a culture in this court is we feel strongly about people being

represented by counsel. The Sixth Amendment means something.

And I'm also cognizant of the Supreme Court's strong

admonitions that individuals have the right to represent

themselves. And they also have the right to counsel of

choice. And I do appreciate the fact that you are an attorney

and that every one of your questions to my standard Faretta

inquiry is going to be yes. But I do think that the record

needs to reflect that.

And, you know, Judge Griggsby may want to do this herself at another time if you maintain your position to self-represent yourself. So I think it's probably prudent just to do that colloquy now and then we can figure out where we go from there.

THE DEFENDANT: Sure. And Your Honor, my point isn't that it's my desire to represent myself pro se, it's that the conditions of release have made it impossible for me to retain counsel.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: And so I'm perfectly -- but it is my desire to do so for purposes of this hearing and the Faretta hearing and everything.

THE COURT: But you understand my cynicism of your observation that given the Government's proffer that there are millions of dollars floating through cryptocurrency wallets that belong to you.

THE DEFENDANT: Right. And Your Honor, what I will 1 2 tell you now is that within a week, the Government will file 3 something acknowledging that that's not mine and that they are 4 wrong. THE COURT: Understood, okay. 5 All right, so Mr. Goldstein, under Faretta v. California 6 I'm going to ask you a series of questions. I'm going to 7 shorthand them because I know you're an attorney with a 8 9 tremendous amount of experience. So you can just answer yes to every one of these questions. 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. I assume that you're 13 familiar with the United States Sentencing Guidelines and how it works? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And that you're familiar with the 16 Federal Rules of Evidence? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And you're familiar with the Federal 20 Rules of Criminal Procedure? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Are you familiar with the Court's local 23 rules? 2.4 THE DEFENDANT: Sufficiently, yes. 25 THE COURT: And enough that you know how to access

them and find them off the website and to adhere to the 1 2 conduct expected in the local rules and the policies and procedures? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: That you understand the governing Supreme Court and Fourth Circuit law about criminal procedure 6 and the substantive issues in this case? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: That you understand the serious nature 10 of the charges against you as contained in the Indictment that 11 was returned on January 16, 2025 which charges you with a 12 total of 22 counts. And you understand both the nature of all 13 of those substantive counts, the maximum possible penalties; is that correct? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: You understand that there is no parole 16 17 in the federal system? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that obviously the 20 complexity of the federal criminal litigation generally and in 21 cases like this that are hypertechnical, paper dependent, 22 record dependent, and complicated? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that there is a

serious disadvantage for somebody to represent themselves,

25

especially if they're in custody, to do all the things that is necessary to prepare a case? File and investigate pretrial motions, do an investigation, interview witnesses, do all the things that one -- contact experts, retain experts, work with experts? All those things are very difficult for a person to do on his or her own whether they're a lawyer or not.

Do you understand that?

2.4

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that it is tremendously even more difficult if you remain in a custodial status with a marshal service pending the case?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Just how many cases -- I know you have a background, but have you actually -- I know you do appellate work, but have you actually represented someone in a criminal trial in a federal court anywhere?

THE DEFENDANT: I have done all of the pretrial proceedings. The case was dismissed and we prevailed. So there wasn't a trial, but all of the pretrial work. And I'm certainly familiar with -- I have taught evidence in law school. I'm very familiar with criminal procedure and the practice.

THE COURT: So you understand that you and you alone will be responsible for reviewing all the discovery that the

Government provides, providing the Government reciprocal discovery under the rules requesting if you believe the Government hasn't filed -- I mean, hasn't provided you with the necessary discovery you're going to have to interact with them on that. You understand that you have an obligation to produce such discovery to the Government? You have to conduct your own factual investigation? You have to develop your own legal theories? You have to research and draft your own motions and file them? You have to prepare your own jury instructions? You have to do your own opening and closings? You have to interview people, figure out who to serve subpoenas, use the process of the court to subpoena those individuals, if appropriate? That you have to examine witnesses on your own, cross-examine Government witnesses during the trial, present evidence, object to questions during the trial if you feel they are inappropriate, preserve any evidentiary objections during the trial for any appeal? in the event that you're not acquitted you have to engage in a presentence process as ordered by Judge Griggsby, including the preparation of any mitigation and/or presentence report and the process of a federal criminal sentencing?

Do you understand that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And if convicted, you will be responsible for litigating on your own any sentencing

guidelines, any adjustments under the guidelines. Even though
they're advisory, they still have to be calculated and done by
the district judge.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And in the event that you're convicted,

THE COURT: And in the event that you're convicted, you have to also note your own timely appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

Do you understand that?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And have you given this considerable thought about your decision to represent yourself?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if I accept your decision and/or Judge Griggsby does this inquiry under Faretta, you may not be able to change your mind?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And again --

THE DEFENDANT: Pardon me, Your Honor, and just to reiterate what I said before regarding the Faretta inquiry and I am answering the question yes, it is my view that the Court will conclude that the conditions of release should be modified in a way that will allow me to retain counsel. But if that doesn't occur, then absolutely yes.

THE COURT: Sure. And again, I'm purposely not

talking about that because you've appealed that to Judge 1 2 Griggsby in a pro se capacity and that's pending with Judge Griggsby. And it's for Judge Griggsby to either affirm or 3 reverse. I've had both over 13 years, so it is what it is. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: But I'm aware of that. And I read your 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

motion so I understand that we're not -- I don't feel that's necessarily appropriate for me to talk about it.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm just saying it for the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So do you believe that you can tell me that you've made a notion and intelligent decision to forgo or forfeit your right to counsel and represent yourself at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: I do and I can.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any hesitation, concerns? I understand about what's pending in front of Judge Griggsby, but do you have any concerns about representing yourself that you want to articulate or put on the record?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I think you've been very thorough, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that you feel that not only based on your past experience as a lawyer, but your knowledge of this case and your ability to battle with the Government in a court of law that you are well-equipped to do so?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And just before -- just for completeness purposes, where did you go to law school?

THE DEFENDANT: I went to American University.

THE COURT: And how long have you been a practicing attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: About a quarter century.

THE COURT: And if you had to tell us in one or two sentences what the nature of your practice has been for a quarter of a century, what would it be?

THE DEFENDANT: I litigated a variety of the cases that you referenced so far. I have been deeply involved in all matter of federal questions including with respect to criminal procedure. In particular, the sentencing guidelines, Booker, Fanfan I litigated. I would say that on questions of law, my practice has been pretty comprehensive, but I have the good fortune through other work that I've done to become familiar with criminal procedure. I view the work that's done in trial courts as essential and very detail oriented and its own special set of concerns and need and I am very attentive to those.

THE COURT: Now you know I can't help myself, but like you're too young to have anything to do with *Gideon*, right? You weren't representing him -- you weren't involved in that case, were you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, but I have done other right to counsel work.

THE COURT: I'm kidding. I understand.

All right, Government, any additional questions or areas of inquiry you want the Court to ask Mr. Goldstein?

MR. KIBBE: No, Your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: All right. The Court makes the following findings of fact: I find that Mr. Goldstein is, in fact, a lawyer; he has tremendously -- tremendous experience representing others in federal court in complex criminal cases and he definitely is not like most Faretta inquiry hearings where a layperson has the gigantic uphill battle of even understanding the basics.

Mr. Goldstein answered every question in the affirmative and he totally understands — the Court finds that he totally understands without hesitation the complexity and challenges that he faces representing himself in this capacity at this time, that he understands the charges in the Indictment. He understands the maximum possible penalties. He understands the guidelines. He also understands, given his experience, that during a trial the trial judge can't help him. Judge Griggsby can't treat him any different than any other advocate in her courtroom. That he'll be held to the same standards as an attorney concerning the rules of evidence and criminal procedure. And the Court finds by clear and convincing

evidence that there's ample grounds to find that Mr. Goldstein has waived his right to counsel at this time and that he can proceed in his pro se self-represented capacity. Okay?

So having said that, the limited appearances of Mr.

Berman, Mr. Lauro -- was that his name? Lauro, and Mr. Reed will obviously remain on the docket, but they will not be representing you anymore. And it would be inappropriate for Mr. Berman or Mr. Read or -- because they're members of our bar, to file things for you on CM/ECF. You're going to have to work with whoever else in the clerk's office to make sure that whatever you want to get filed gets filed pursuant to our local rules, but you can't use their resources to electronically file things. You might have your own electronic filing thing, I don't even know.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'll just say that the United States' position is that I cannot file pro se. They moved to strike my pro se filing which is to say their position is that nobody can file anything on my behalf.

THE COURT: Right. Well, you can't have hybrid representation, though.

THE DEFENDANT: It's not hybrid, Your Honor. They moved to strike a pro se filing.

THE COURT: What the Government did, yeah. Well, again, look, the beauty, Mr. Goldstein, of being a magistrate judge is that when people appeal me it's not my problem

anymore. So it's Judge Griggsby I would assume will handle that in the normal course. But I understand your position. But the Court's concern and the long precedent of -- I don't pretend to be the legal scholar that you are, but that we guard zealously against this hybrid representation and that you can either represent yourself, you can have a lawyer represent you, but never the two shall meet. And there's possibilities in some cases where standby counsel is appointed and there's a lot of different variations, but it becomes very confusing for a lot of people if lawyers are filing things for you.

But that's a legal issue that can be raised and adjudicated with Judge Griggsby. Because, again, I'm just a magistrate judge. I just want to get you out of my life, all right? No disrespect. I want to get Mr. Kibbe out of my life, because the merits of all of this are going to occur in front of Judge Griggsby, right?

So having said that, I do find that Mr. Goldstein is proceeding pro se, effectively immediately.

All right. Mr. Kibbe, anything else that we need to do?

MR. KIBBE: Not on that part, Your Honor. Would you

like me to present argument on the Government's motion?

THE COURT: Well, I guess the issue on that is the way I read the order, I've already revoked Mr. Goldstein's conditions of release based on the proffer that was in the

motion. Understanding that Mr. Goldstein has not seen this before today, I assume you have a copy now; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: I did get one eventually, Your
Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay, yeah. And that I'm sure that Mr. Goldstein takes -- well, he's already said it, that he believes that the Government's motion is without merit and that you're going to be filing something say in like mea culpa, I'm sorry, and I understand that. But the way I view this right now is that the proffer that was contained in the motion was sufficient enough for me to revoke his conditions of release under 3148 and I can take us through that inquiry, but to me it's -- at this point it's not really about wallets with gigantic long numbers or anything like that. To me it's about my conditions of release and the conditions of release that I imposed. And Pretrial's belief that you weren't forthright in disclosing these cyber currency accounts, these wallets. And also the representations in the motion, again, could be wrong, but that you have been engaging in transferring funds in both of the wallets of significant amounts of money. And if that's the case, then you have violated my condition of release that you may not.

MR. KIBBE: It would violate no transfers.

THE COURT: Right, that you may not transfer any funds without prior pretrial approval. And again, I totally

expect you're going to file a motion for a reconsideration.

And if and when you do, I will get you in for a very, very timely hearing as fast as I possibly can. And you can put the Government to the test.

Now understand too that, you know, at this stage the practice in this court is mostly proceeding by proffer, right? We don't end up having large evidentiary hearings where you'll be able to call case agents and start -- not that you would, but engage in fishing expeditions for things downstream that may benefit you at a trial or at some other point. So, you know, that's how I'm viewing where we are.

So to answer your question, Mr. Kibbe, I don't think it's necessary now. If the Government wants to put something on the record, free to do so and then I'll let Mr. Goldstein put something on the record. He's free to do so preliminarily about the status of where we find ourselves, but I'm not going to engage in -- I think it's a disadvantage to Mr. Goldstein to expect him to marshal what he needs today in order to defend against the Government's motion which I granted, but Courts always reconsider things based on the evidence.

Sometimes the evidence changes.

So Mr. Kibbe, if you want to put something on the record you're totally free to do so. And Mr. Goldstein, you're totally free to do so.

MR. KIBBE: Thank you, Your Honor. Then the

Government would submit on its motion that Your Honor has said 1 2 it has already granted. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Goldstein, anything you want to put 4 on the record other than what you've already put on the record? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to ask 6 7 -- the Court, of course, has a motion from the Government and has an evidentiary submission from the Government. As you 8 9 said, I'm at a disadvantage in that respect. I just in building a little bit of a record here, I'd ask the Court's 10 11 indulgence. One thing I would like to do is be sworn. 12 THE COURT: Be sworn? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Sworn, yes. Sworn in that what I'm 14 going to say is the truth. 15 THE COURT: Okay, sure. Any objection to that? 16 17 MR. KIBBE: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Goldstein, could you 19 raise your right hand? 20 (Defendant, sworn.) 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 Thank you, Your Honor. The reason I THE DEFENDANT: 23 wanted to be sworn is I want it to be clear is if I told you 24 -- and I expect to be taken in custody, but I'm going to be in 25 front of you on various things probably for a while. I wanted

it to be very clear that if what I tell you isn't true, that I'll have committed perjury. That this is part of the ongoing investigation. The Government has made clear I've engaged in obstruction of justice, that that will result in a sentencing enhancement, in addition to the additional offense. So I just want you to understand the unbelievable seriousness and that I affirmatively asked to be sworn in for these purposes.

These are not my accounts. I didn't engage in these transfers. That will be pretty easily provable. I get that the Government was suspicious because it looked strange and I get that when they made the proffer to you your intuition is this really looks like it's true. The Government could have investigated, but knowing that I was -- knowing prudently that I was working on the motion with respect to the conditions, instead it decided to have me arrested.

What happened in this situation is that there are other people. And this is commonplace with respect to currency and with respect to gambling. What happened and what I will be able to prove is that third parties, I sent money to third parties. And, in fact, there's text messages here describing what it is that I was doing. And this money went straight into their wallets and I will prove to you that it's in their wallets.

I'll give you just a couple of facts on the face of the motion that will make you wonder. And I understand that

you've concluded that there's reason to believe that it should be revoked, but I'll give you the facts that will make you wonder.

2.4

The premise of the motion is that I'm an idiot. Knowing that I was under investigation, I had invoices created and created a record of these transactions, including where these cryptocurrency -- this cryptocurrency was going. And knowing that these people had been subpoenaed and that all that information was in the hands of the Government, the Government's theory in the motion is that rather than taking two seconds and creating a new cryptocurrency account, I like an idiot decided to send tens of millions of dollars through the cryptocurrency account that they inevitably and no doubt were watching. That is not -- that would take an idiot.

The other reason I would have to be an idiot is that I would have been an idiot for the past several years. Having access to tens of millions of dollars, what I did is not pay my taxes and took out a mortgage on my house that was utterly unnecessary because I had millions and millions of dollars. And that will give you, I think, an intuition that maybe something is up here.

The other thing up here is that the Government maybe thinking that this was a wallet of mine with \$200,000, this is the second wallet that's referenced, never told the Court that, never told Pretrial Services that, never objected to the

other motion on the ground that I had hundreds of thousands of dollars that I was hiding from the Government, but they actually knew that I had.

What's going to happen, Your Honor, is that I was arrested, they made me surrender my phone and I would have been able hopefully -- I switched phones just in the new iPhone coming out, but hopefully the messages identifying these cryptocurrency accounts and who the ultimate recipients were. One of them I know for sure because he's identified in the text messages will be able to get the other side of the transaction, the other set of messages saying it went to theirs and they are the source of the information.

When I confirm -- you're very thorough, Your Honor.

You'll see that there are a set of messages which I confirm

the receipt. And there will be messages. Either I'll have

them or that person will have them saying -- me checking with

them, "Did you receive it?" "Yes, I received it." My passing

on word that it had been received.

Now when all that happens in a few days and when the Government having gotten me put in jail on this basis, notwithstanding the fact that I am swearing, my family should never trust anything I should say, nothing in the trial I say should be trusted, nothing I ever say to you again should be trusted or to Judge Griggsby. Notwithstanding all of that, they're going to have me put in jail.

When they come soon and withdraw this because they could have through just any more investigation found out that it wasn't true, I'd like you to realize something about the Government. The Government in this case has gone wild. I have been deeply respected by federal courts for my entire career. The lead prosecutor in this case has been found to have lied to a federal district judge, a judge in England, a judge in Canada. He lied to the grand jury here and to witnesses. And I will prove that.

And what I am asking Your Honor is that the Court take this test, take this measure of what's going on in this case. Rather than doing something sensible like investigating this question while I'm working on the conditions of release, doing senseless things like moving to strike a pro se filing when the entire point is that I am filing pro se so that I can get counsel. The Government has a ready, shoot, aim perspective on me. I will prove this is not true. They will come and tell you it's not true in a few days after I have been in jail.

I spent the last several hours in shackles. I haven't had a pen to write anything down or submit anything to you. And you will see that this is part of a broader pattern. I just want to build a record now for you and for Judge Griggsby that this is what's been going on for years.

The charges with respect to the case are based on just

exactly this pattern and that is they take something that looks -- concede there's something that should be investigated here, but you can take a much, much more measured approach and say, you know, is this really true? And instead, the prosecution here has with respect to the Indictment, with respect to this, with respect to the other motions it filed just gone wild. And I want the Court to know it. I want their supervisors and the new administration and the new leadership of the Department of Justice to know it. will just continue -- I know I'm going to be in front of you for a while. I promise you, we are going to be back here in a few days. I'm going to reference this conversation. They're going to reference the fact that they stuck to it and I'm going to just continue to demonstrate this to you and to Judge Griggsby over the course of the next several months.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Officer Smith, I neglected to ask you, any position or comments by Pretrial about any of this?

PRETRIAL OFFICER SMITH: Your Honor, the only recommendation at this point is that we would recommend detention based on the fact that we were fully unaware of these crypto accounts that he never reported to Pretrial.

When the Court releases somebody on Pretrial supervision, they place a level of trust with that individual being forthright with us. Mr. Goldstein, to his credit, has

communicated with me very regularly, but he never disclosed the crypto accounts that the Government is alleging are his. So that is concerning for Pretrial. We have no way of necessarily monitoring accounts of that nature, but for the defendant being forthright with us and telling us about it. So it wasn't until we were notified by the Government about these accounts that we actually were informed of the accounts. So at this point at this juncture we would recommend detention based on that.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, if I could just add one --

THE COURT: Sure.

at communicating with me in this. What he's talking about is that every conceivable thing that might implicate the conditions of release, anything that might look like a transfer, anything at all, anything that just involved the possibility of -- so for example, there's my do not contact list, no communication. Any time anyone tried to contact me I reported it to Pretrial and I had my lawyers tell that person that I'm not allowed to contact you.

And Your Honor, this is just going to be part of a pattern here and that is -- when we come back here in a few days because I hope you won't let them just withdraw on paper, I hope you will make them show up and talk to you -- what

you're going to see is that there was this pattern of my doing everything beyond the pale to make sure there was no problem with any condition of release. And now I'm going to go to jail because this prosecution has just lost its mind. And this is another example of what they could have done, so many simple things to recognize that this wasn't true.

And Your Honor, I think even you are now having doubts because the idea I would put my entire life on the line over the truth of this, I think you realize that we are going to be back here. I hope you do. And that I'm actually telling the truth. And you are nonetheless because of the submissions of these people like the Indictment, like other filings of them, you trust the Government. And I get that. What you are going to see in a few days is that trust is misplaced.

THE COURT: Well, I understand your point. I think you probably forget that I was a criminal defense attorney for 24 years.

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: And my level of, like, blind trust to the Government is probably not very deep. I'm trying to be fair here and the Government -- if the Government told me things on Super Bowl Sunday night that turned out to be untrue, or half-truths, or lies, then there are consequences for that conduct. Just like if you were moving funds and not telling Pretrial that you had these wallets and you were

moving funds, then under 3148 I'm going to find by clear and convincing -- I found already basically that under 3148 by clear and convincing evidence you violated my conditions of release and that I think you're unlikely to abide by them at this time and you're going to be detained. But these issues can always be revisited and I totally expect that we will be revisiting them at some point.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I? I apologize.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I do want to make the point that the Government could have structured it this way or allowed the Court to structure it this way that made this utterly unnecessary. The Government could have easily, it was within its power, said okay, we have this serious concern. You're detained, okay? What I want you to do is if you can find the messages with respect to this on your phone or, you know, let us communicate with these people to demonstrate that it's not yours, we get it. But instead, they have made it as functionally impossible as possible. They took my phone away so that I didn't have access. They refused to tell me initially what the detention was about.

This is -- to say that there is a clear and convincing evidence standard and the structure of the proceeding will be one that makes it as difficult as possible to demonstrate this is just obviously wrong, these are not my accounts, I think is

just another indication that this is going to have been pointless, and unnecessarily so and it's going to end up having been a waste of the Court's time because they could have managed this in a way easily that made it possible for them -- I understand they have a concern. Fine, you have a concern. But to take someone, keep them in shackles for hours, put them in jail over it when they are definitely wrong and they don't have a message saying, you know, anything that I would have done in the past few days, no explanation for why I would have done it. Why I would have \$1,000 deposited in the cryptocurrency account, that they, Your Honor, I think should have structured this in a way that it made it possible for the Court not to have to do this to me.

THE COURT: Right. Yeah, look, I'm not happy to deal with any of this stuff, right? But look, the Government has the ability to proceed however they see fit. And they -- if you file a motion to say hey, I want to review my detention order that I'm going to issue that's already issued, then we will have a prompt hearing on that and you will be able to do whatever it is that you want to do to challenge the Government and maybe things change, maybe they don't. I don't know.

But I do recognize that you are operating at a disadvantage now and that is not fair. And that is not how we want to proceed here in this court. And you will have, if you want, you will have your opportunity to tell me in a robust,

factual fashion why the Government has lost their mind and we will deal with that.

THE DEFENDANT: So Your Honor, can I just ask --

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: --a question? The way I will do that is by looking in my phone for the messages that I'm talking about. So what will have happened -- and again, I did get a new iPhone so I might have to -- someone may have to reach out to the other people. These people are on my do not contact list. So it will have to be handled counsel to counsel. But what I'm wondering, Your Honor, is the simplest way to do this is just for us to check on my phone whether or not these messages are there.

If I'm going to be detained, how is it that we can do this?

THE COURT: Right. And oh, the conundrum of wanting to represent yourself, right? I mean, because you could always hire an investigator. You can hire people to do the actions for you, right? Because you are at a disadvantage. I mean, you are representing yourself and you're detained. That's two very bad things. But I'm certainly not in -- and I don't know whether the Government got a warrant -- I have no idea what -- anything about your phone. But, you know, I'm certainly not going to start looking through people's phones.

THE DEFENDANT: But I do represent myself and so I

do have the right to represent myself. 1 And so there is 2 inevitably this conundrum. I disagree with Your Honor, but it 3 is a conundrum that has to be resolved. And the question is 4 then how is it that we can resolve it? 5 THE COURT: So let me ask Government, what is up with the phone that you took today? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No, I just gave it to my wife, Your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right, so the Government didn't 10 seize the phone, you gave it to your wife? 11 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't say they seized it, Your 12 Honor. They made me give it up and then they took it to my 13 wife because she dropped me off here. There was a pretext 14 arrest which I understand through pretrial. 15 THE COURT: So I guess I assumed that when you said "the Government took my phone" that they have it in -- they 16 have it. 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: I don't think I used that word. Ιf 19 I did, I apologize. Exactly what happened is that I was 20 arrested. I was required to surrender my phone and they gave 21 it to my wife. 22 THE COURT: Right. THE DEFENDANT: The question is how it is that we can 23 24 resolve this conundrum of me being able to -- in a way that

doesn't concern the Government with my sending messages to

25

anybody or creating some sort of record, be able to just look for these messages. And if the Government has a suggestion about some practical way of doing that.

Your Honor, I am risking my entire reputation with you, the Court, the world, that this is what's going to happen and I think it would be -- everybody would be well advised if it's possible for us to have a pragmatic solution to this for us to take it.

THE COURT: Mr. Kibbe, any thoughts on that?

MR. KIBBE: Your Honor, if Ms. Howe has the phone, then perhaps that's one way that Mr. Goldstein can get to the messages that he's referring to. If there's specific people that he needs to contact for this purpose, the Government doesn't object to that and would be happy to receive those facts.

And we'd say at this point, Your Honor, the facts that the Government presented are in the motion. We're not playing games here. We're not trying to disadvantage Mr. Goldstein. The Government has a serious concern about these transfers and evidence that Mr. Goldstein controlled or owned these accounts and that's what we're presenting to the Court.

THE COURT: I'm not getting into this and I appreciate the tuna net that Mr. Goldstein is trying to lay in front of me, but the one thing that is questioned for the Court is you don't show in any of those exhibits where it

went. So, you know, you show one-sided transfers, but there's no -- I don't know where -- nothing you gave me shows me where they went. Now maybe you don't know where they went, maybe that's the nature of this kind of currency, I don't know. But I'm sure you'll file something and we'll have a very robust hearing about all of this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I also, before I end, I just want people to understand what is not going to happen here. We are not going to have a seminar on cryptocurrency, and wallets, and the Wild West world of all these different tethered dollar, Bitcoin, To me it's very simple: Whether -- and I already found it because I already entered the order -- whether Mr. Goldstein has violated my conditions of release which is whether he transferred money or funds without talking to Pretrial in advance. That is -- if we ever have a hearing on this gentlemen, ladies, that's the hearing. It's did he violate my conditions of release, that particular section. Was he dishonest to Officer Smith in not revealing that he was the owner of these two wallets. That is where we will go. We will not -- I just don't have the bandwidth. I'm too old. Ι don't understand. I don't even know what LinkedIn is. not doing cryptocurrency stuff. We're not doing it. So I don't want to go too far astray here. very narrow issue and that's where we are.

All right. Last word, Mr. Goldstein. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. I meant to say first Your Honor, that's exactly right. The messages that I'm talking about are just going to be about ownership. It's nothing to do with high-tech details of cryptocurrency.

The other thing I meant to say is this motion is going to disprove itself. Here is what's going to happen: They're saying these accounts are in use. You're about to put me in jail. I think since they're in use they're going to keep getting used. And I want to know how long it takes the Government to come back to you and say we were watching this — nobody could know. This is, right, not at my behest. I was just arrested without any warning. How long is it going to take the Government to say you know what, Your Honor, we noticed that Mr. Goldstein can't be doing this, he's in jail.

THE COURT: Right, because it's a shared wallet or something else. I understand that. And that's -- the Government is going to have to answer that and, you know, it's a big step to tell a federal judicial officer that you want to revoked someone's conditions of release based on certain conduct. And if that conduct turns out to be untrue then they're -- can't say what I want to say -- there are consequences to that. But we're not there yet, okay.

THE DEFENDANT: As long as there will be consequences, I'm good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else? Anything else?

_					
1	MR. KIBBE: No, Your Honor.				
2	THE COURT: All right, thank you all very much.				
3	(Whereupon the proceeding was concluded at 3:23				
4	p.m.)				
5	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER				
6					
7					
8					
9	I, Nadine M. Bachmann, Registered Merit Reporter and				
10	Certified Realtime Reporter, do hereby certify that the				
11	foregoing is a correct transcript of the audio-recorded				
12	proceedings in the above-entitled matter, audio recorded via				
13	FTR Gold on February 10, 2025, and transcribed from the audio				
14	recording to the best of my ability and that said transcript				
15	has been compared with the audio recording.				
16					
17	Dated this <u>12th</u> day of <u>February</u> , 2025				
18					
19	-S-				
20	NADINE M. BACHMANN RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT				
21	PEDERAL OFFICIAL COOK!				
22					
23					
24					
25					

1

•	27:25, 31:20, 33:7	15:4	BEFORE [1] - 1:8	check [1] - 29:12
\$		-		• •
*****	acknowledging [1] -	appearing [1] - 1:17	behalf [2] - 2:11,	checking [1] - 22:16
\$1,000 [1] - 28:10	7:3	appellate [1] - 9:15	15:18	choice [1] - 6:5
\$200,000 [1] - 21:23	acquitted [1] - 10:18	applies [1] - 4:21	behest [1] - 33:11	Circuit [2] - 8:6, 11:8
	Action [1] - 2:6	apply [1] - 3:4	belief [1] - 17:16	clear [7] - 14:25,
1	actions [1] - 29:19	appointed [2] - 3:5,	believes [1] - 17:7	19:23, 20:1, 20:3,
	Adam [1] - 1:21	16:8	belong [2] - 5:10, 6:25	27:1, 27:3, 27:22
10 [2] - 1:9, 34:13	add [1] - 25:10	appreciate [3] - 5:23,	benefit [1] - 18:10	clerk's [1] - 15:10
12th [1] - 34:17	addition [1] - 20:5	6:5, 31:23	Berman [3] - 3:9, 15:5,	closings [1] - 10:10
13 [1] - 12:4	additional [2] - 14:4,	approach [1] - 24:3	15:8	CM/ECF [1] - 15:9
150 [1] - 1:16	20:5	appropriate [3] - 4:4,	best [1] - 34:14	cognizant [2] - 5:5,
16 [1] - 8:11	adhere [1] - 8:1	10:13, 12:8	beyond [1] - 26:2	6:2
10[1] - 0.11	• •	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
2	adjudicated [1] -	approval [1] - 17:25	big [1] - 33:18	colloquy [1] - 6:12
2	16:13	areas [1] - 14:4	bit [1] - 19:10	coming [1] - 22:7
2.142 [1] - 1:16	adjustments [1] - 11:1	argument [2] - 5:3,	Bitcoin [1] - 32:10	comments [1] - 24:18
	administration [1] -	16:22	blind [1] - 26:19	committed [1] - 20:2
20002 [1] - 1:16	24:8	arrest [3] - 2:21, 5:7,	Booker [1] - 13:15	commonplace [1] -
2025 [4] - 1:9, 8:11,	admonitions [1] - 6:3	30:14	Bowl [1] - 26:22	20:17
34:13, 34:17	advance [1] - 32:15	arrested [4] - 20:15,	brief [1] - 5:2	communicate [1] -
20770 [1] - 1:14	advised [1] - 31:6	22:5, 30:20, 33:12	bring [1] - 4:2	27:17
22 [1] - 8:12	advisory [1] - 11:2	articulate [1] - 12:19	brings [1] - 2:19	communicated [1] -
24 [1] - 26:17	advocate [1] - 14:22	assistant [1] - 2:9	broader [1] - 23:22	25:1
2:39 [1] - 2:2	affirm [1] - 12:3	assume [3] - 7:12,	build [1] - 23:23	communicating [1] -
	affirmatively [1] - 20:7	16:1, 17:2	building [1] - 19:10	25:14
3	afford [1] - 3:5	assumed [1] - 30:15	•	communication [1] -
	afternoon [6] - 2:3,	astray [1] - 32:23	BY [2] - 1:12, 1:15	25:19
3148 [3] - 17:12, 27:1,	2:8, 2:14, 2:15, 2:16,	attentive [1] - 13:20	0	compared [1] - 34:15
27:2	2:18	attorney [6] - 4:18,	С	completeness [1] -
3:23 [1] - 34:3		• • • •	calculated [1] - 11:2	13:3
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	agents [1] - 18:8	6:5, 7:8, 13:6, 14:24, 26:16		complex [1] - 14:10
6	ahead [3] - 19:21,		California [1] - 7:6	
<u> </u>	27:9, 32:25	Attorney [2] - 2:9, 3:1	Canada [1] - 23:8	complexity [2] - 8:20,
6406 [1] - 1:13	aided [1] - 1:25	ATTORNEY [1] - 1:13	cannot [1] - 15:16	14:16
	aim [1] - 23:16	audio [4] - 34:11,	capacity [3] - 12:2,	complicated [1] - 8:22
8	alleging [1] - 25:2	34:12, 34:13, 34:15	14:17, 15:3	comprehensive [1] -
<u> </u>	allow [2] - 3:10, 11:23	audio-recorded [1] -	career [1] - 23:6	13:16
800 [1] - 1:13	allowed [2] - 25:21,	34:11	case [14] - 2:4, 2:5,	computer [1] - 1:25
8:25-cr-00006-LKG [1]	27:11	avoidance [1] - 4:25	8:7, 9:2, 9:11, 9:19,	computer-aided [1] -
- 1:4	alone [1] - 9:24	aware [2] - 3:10, 12:6	12:24, 13:25, 17:21,	1:25
1,4	Amendment [3] - 4:6,		18:8, 23:4, 23:6,	concede [1] - 24:2
٨	4:7, 6:1	В	23:11, 23:25	conceivable [1] -
Α	AMERICA [1] - 1:3		CASE [1] - 1:4	25:15
abide [1] - 27:4	American [1] - 13:4	BACHMANN[1] -	cases [6] - 3:11, 8:21,	concern [6] - 16:3,
ability [4] - 3:18,	amount [1] - 7:9	34:20	9:14, 13:11, 14:10,	27:14, 28:5, 28:6,
12:24, 28:16, 34:14	amounts [1] - 17:21	Bachmann [1] - 34:9	16:8	30:25, 31:19
	ample [1] - 15:1	background [1] - 9:15	century [2] - 13:7,	concerning [2] -
able [9] - 5:3, 11:16,	answer [3] - 7:9,	bad [1] - 29:21	13:10	14:24, 25:3
18:8, 20:19, 22:6,	• • •	bail [1] - 4:23	certain [1] - 33:19	concerns [3] - 12:17,
22:10, 28:19, 30:24,	18:12, 33:17	bandwidth [1] - 32:20	certainly [3] - 9:21,	12:18, 13:20
31:1	answered [1] - 14:14	bar [1] - 15:9	29:21, 29:24	conclude [1] - 11:22
above-entitled [1] -	answering [1] - 11:21	based [8] - 5:18,	•	
34:12	apologize [2] - 27:8,		CERTIFICATE [1] -	concluded [2] - 21:1,
absolutely [1] - 11:24	30:19	12:22, 16:25, 18:20,	34:5	34:3
accept [1] - 11:14	apparent [1] - 5:14	23:25, 24:21, 25:9,	Certified [1] - 34:10	condition [2] - 17:22,
access [3] - 7:25,	appeal [3] - 10:17,	33:19	certify [1] - 34:10	26:3
21:17, 27:20	11:7, 15:25	basics [1] - 14:13	challenge [1] - 28:20	conditions [18] - 2:21,
account [3] - 21:11,	appealed [1] - 12:1	basis [1] - 22:20	challenges [1] - 14:16	3:1, 3:16, 4:23, 5:13,
21:13, 28:11	Appeals [1] - 11:8	battle [2] - 12:24,	change [2] - 11:16,	6:16, 11:22, 16:25,
accounts [11] - 17:17,	appearance [2] - 3:7,	14:12	28:21	17:11, 17:15, 20:14,
20:8, 22:8, 24:22,	3:8	beauty [1] - 15:24	changes [1] - 18:21	23:13, 25:16, 27:3,
25:2, 25:4, 25:7,	appearances [1] -	become [1] - 13:17	charges [4] - 8:10,	32:13, 32:17, 33:19
_0, _0, _0.1 ,		becomes [1] - 16:9	8:11, 14:18, 23:25	conduct [5] - 8:2,
			2,, 20.20	Ī , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

10:6, 26:24, 33:20 confirm [2] - 22:13, 22:14 confusing [1] - 16:10 consequences [3] -26:23, 33:22, 33:24 considerable [1] -11.11 contact [6] - 9:4, 25:18, 25:19, 25:21, 29:10, 31:13 contained [2] - 8:10, 17:10 continue [2] - 24:10, 24:14 **controlled** [1] - 31:20 conundrum [4] -29:16, 30:2, 30:3, 30:24 conversation [1] -24:12 convicted [2] - 10:24, 11:6 convincing [4] -14:25, 27:2, 27:3, 27:22 copy [2] - 5:21, 17:2 correct [3] - 8:14, 17:2, 34:11 counsel [17] - 2:10, 3:3, 3:5, 3:6, 3:13, 3:23, 6:1, 6:4, 6:17, 11:23. 12:13. 14:2. 15:2, 16:8, 23:16, 29:10, 29:11 counts [2] - 8:12, 8:13 couple [1] - 20:24 course [3] - 16:2, 19:7, 24:15 Court [17] - 8:6, 11:8, 11:21, 14:5, 14:7, 14:15, 14:25, 19:7, 21:24, 23:10, 24:7, 24:23, 27:12, 28:13, 31:5, 31:21, 31:25 COURT [73] - 1:1, 2:3, 2:13, 2:17, 2:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:18, 4:22, 5:5, 5:16, 5:20, 5:23, 6:18, 6:22, 7:5, 7:12, 7:16, 7:19, 7:22, 7:25, 8:5, 8:9, 8:16, 8:19, 8:24, 9:9, 9:14, 9:24, 10:24, 11:6, 11:11, 11:14, 11:18, 11:25, 12:6, 12:11, 12:16, 12:22, 13:2, 13:5, 13:8, 13:22, 14:3, 14:7, 15:19, 15:23, 16:23,

17:5, 17:24, 19:3, deal [2] - 28:15, 29:2 19:12, 19:15, 19:18, decided [2] - 20:15, 19:21, 24:16, 25:12, 26:15, 26:19, 27:9, 28:14, 29:4, 29:16, 30:5, 30:9, 30:15, 30:22, 31:9, 31:22, 33:15, 33:25, 34:2, 34:20 court [8] - 3:5, 5:25, 9:17, 10:12, 12:24, 14:10, 18:6, 28:24 Court's [6] - 4:11, 6:2, 7:22, 16:3, 19:10, 28:3 court-appointed [1] -3.5 courtroom [1] - 14:23 courts [2] - 13:19, 23:5 Courts [1] - 18:20 created [2] - 21:5, 21:6 creating [2] - 21:11, 31:1 credit [1] - 24:25 criminal [11] - 3:11, 8:6, 8:20, 9:16, 9:22, 10:21, 13:14, 13:18, 14:10, 14:24, 26:16 Criminal [2] - 2:6, 7:20 critical [2] - 4:21, 4:24 cross [1] - 10:14 cross-examine [1] -10:14 CRR [1] - 34:20 crypto [2] - 24:22, 25.2 cryptocurrency[11] -5:10, 6:24, 21:7, 21:11, 21:13, 22:8, 28:11, 32:9, 32:22, 33.4 culpa [1] - 17:9 culture [1] - 5:25 currency [3] - 17:17, 20:17, 32:4

D

custodial [1] - 9:10

custody [3] - 2:23,

cyber [1] - 17:17

cynicism [1] - 6:22

9:1. 19:24

Dated [1] - 34:17 days [6] - 22:19, 23:18, 24:12, 25:24, 26:14, 28:9 **DC** [1] - 1:16

decision [3] - 11:12, 11:15, 12:12 **deep** [1] - 26:20 deeply [2] - 13:12, 23:5 defend [1] - 18:19 **Defendant** [2] - 1:6, 19:20 defendant [1] - 25:5 **DEFENDANT** [60] -1:17. 2:16. 4:14. 4:17, 4:19, 4:23, 5:15, 5:18, 5:22, 6:14, 6:19, 7:1, 7:11, 7:15, 7:18, 7:21, 7:24, 8:4, 8:8, 8:15, 8:18, 8:23, 9:8, 9:13, 9:18, 10:23, 11:5, 11:10, 11:13, 11:17, 11:19, 12:5, 12:9, 12:15, 12:20, 13:1, 13:4, 13:7, 13:11, 14:1, 15:15, 15:21, 17:3, 19:6, 19:13, 19:22, 25:10, 25:13, 26:18, 27:8, 27:10, 29:3, 29:5, 29:25, 30:7, 30:11, 30:18, 30:23, 33:1, 33:23 **defense** [1] - 26:16 definitely [2] - 14:11, 28:7 demonstrate [3] -24:14, 27:17, 27:24 **Department** [2] - 2:11, 24.9 **DEPARTMENT**[1] -1:15 dependent [2] - 8:21, 8.22 **deposited** [1] - 28:10 describing [1] - 20:20 desire [2] - 6:15, 6:20 detail [1] - 13:19 details [1] - 33:4 detained [4] - 27:5, 27:15, 29:14, 29:20 detention [4] - 24:21, 25:8, 27:21, 28:17 develop [1] - 10:7 different [3] - 14:22, 16:9, 32:10 difficult [3] - 9:5, 9:10, 27:24 disadvantage [7] -5:6, 8:25, 18:17,

21:12

31:18 disagree [2] - 4:15, 30:2 disclosed [1] - 25:1 disclosing [1] - 17:17 discovery [4] - 9:25, 10:2, 10:4, 10:6 discretion [1] - 4:11 dishonest [1] - 32:18 dismissed [1] - 9:19 disprove [1] - 33:6 **disrespect** [1] - 16:15 district [2] - 11:3, 23:7 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:1 **Division** [1] - 2:11 **DIVISION** [2] - 1:2, 1:15 docket [1] - 15:6 dollar [1] - 32:10 dollars [5] - 6:24, 21:12, 21:17, 21:19, 22:2 done [8] - 9:18, 11:2, 13:17, 13:18, 14:1, 26:5, 28:9, 28:10 doubt [2] - 4:25, 21:13 doubts [1] - 26:7 down [1] - 23:21 downstream [1] - 18:9 draft [1] - 10:8 dropped [1] - 30:13 during [4] - 10:15, 10:17, 14:21

ESQUIRE [2] - 1:12, 1.15 essential [1] - 13:19 event [3] - 3:15, 10:18, 11.6 eventually [1] - 17:3 evidence [11] - 5:2, 5:3, 9:21, 10:15, 14:24, 15:1, 18:20, 18:21, 27:3, 27:23, 31:20 Evidence [1] - 7:17 evidentiary [3] -10:17, 18:7, 19:8 ex [1] - 2:20 exactly [3] - 24:1, 30:19, 33:2 examine [2] - 10:13, 10:14 example [2] - 25:18, 26:5 **exhibits** [2] - 4:10, 31:25 expect [4] - 18:1, 18:18, 19:24, 27:6 expected [1] - 8:2 expeditions [1] - 18:9 **experience** [4] - 7:9, 12:23, 14:9, 14:20 experts [3] - 9:4, 9:5 explain [1] - 2:25 explanation [1] - 28:9

Ε

easily [3] - 20:9, 27:13, 28:4 effectively [1] - 16:19 either [3] - 12:3, 16:6, 22:15 **electronic** [1] - 15:14 electronically [1] -15:13 end [3] - 18:7, 28:2, 32:7 engage [4] - 10:18, 18:9, 18:17, 20:8 engaged [1] - 20:3 engaging [1] - 17:19 England [1] - 23:7 enhancement [1] -20:5 entered [2] - 3:7, 32.12 entire [4] - 23:5, 23:15, 26:8, 31:4 entitled [1] - 34:12 equipped [1] - 12:25 especially [1] - 9:1

face [1] - 20:24 faces [1] - 14:17 fact [8] - 5:5, 6:5, 14:8, 14:9, 20:20, 22:21, 24:13, 24:21 facts [5] - 4:9, 20:24, 21:2, 31:15, 31:16 factual [2] - 10:7, 29:1 fair [2] - 26:21, 28:23 familiar [7] - 7:13, 7:16, 7:19, 7:22, 9:21, 9:22, 13:18 family [1] - 22:21 Fanfan [1] - 13:15 far [2] - 13:12, 32:23 Faretta [11] - 3:24, 4:3, 4:20, 6:6, 6:20, 7:6, 11:16, 11:20, 14:11 fashion [2] - 3:12, 29:1 fast [1] - 18:3 February [3] - 1:9. 34:13, 34:17

FEDERAL [1] - 34:20

Federal [2] - 7:17,

F

19:9, 28:23, 29:19,

7:19 federal [11] - 3:10, 3:11, 8:17, 8:20, 9:17, 10:21, 13:13, 14:10, 23:5, 23:7, 33:18 few [6] - 22:19, 23:18, 24:12, 25:23, 26:14, 28:9 Fifth [1] - 4:6 figure [2] - 6:12, 10:11 file [10] - 7:2, 9:2, 10:9, 15:9, 15:13, 15:16, 15:18, 18:1, 28:17, 32:5 filed [7] - 3:12, 3:13, 5:13, 10:3, 15:11, 24:6 filing [7] - 15:14, 15:17, 15:22, 16:10, 17:8, 23:14, 23:15 filings [1] - 26:12 financially [1] - 3:5 **findings** [1] - 14:8 fine [1] - 28:5 first [2] - 4:2, 33:1 fishing [1] - 18:9 fit [1] - 28:16 floating [1] - 6:24 following [2] - 2:20, 14.8 **FOR** [2] - 1:1, 1:12 **foregoing** [1] - 34:11 forfeit [1] - 12:13 forget [1] - 26:16 forgo [1] - 12:12 forthright [3] - 17:17, 24:25, 25:5 fortune [1] - 13:17 Fourth [2] - 8:6, 11:8 free [4] - 18:14, 18:15, 18:23, 18:24 front [5] - 12:17, 16:17, 19:25, 24:10, 31:24 **FTR** [2] - 1:24, 34:13 fully [1] - 24:21 fulsome [1] - 5:8 functionally [1] -27:19 funds [5] - 17:20, 17:25, 26:24, 27:1, 32:14

G

gambling [1] - 20:18 games [1] - 31:18 generally [1] - 8:20 gentlemen [1] - 32:16

Gideon [1] - 13:23 gigantic [2] - 14:12, 17:14 given [3] - 6:23, 11:11, 14:20 GOLD [1] - 1:24 Gold [1] - 34:13 **GOLDSTEIN** [1] - 1:5 goldstein [1] - 2:6 Goldstein [30] - 2:15, 2:23, 2:25, 3:3, 4:2, 4:4, 4:12, 5:17, 7:6, 14:5, 14:8, 14:14, 15:1, 15:24, 16:18, 17:1, 17:6, 18:14, 18:17, 18:23, 19:3, 19:18, 24:25, 31:11, 31:18, 31:20, 31:23, 32:13, 32:25, 33:14 Goldstein's [3] - 2:21, 3:23, 16:24 governing [1] - 8:5 Government [54] -2:4, 2:12, 2:20, 3:21, 5:1, 5:6, 5:9, 7:2, 10:1, 10:3, 10:6, 10:14, 12:24, 14:4, 15:23, 18:4, 18:13, 19:1, 19:7, 19:8, 20:3, 20:10, 20:12, 21:9, 21:22, 22:2, 22:20, 23:4, 23:16, 25:2, 25:6, 26:13, 26:20, 26:21, 27:11, 27:13, 28:15, 28:20, 29:1, 29:22, 30:5, 30:9, 30:16, 30:25, 31:2, 31:13, 31:17, 31:19, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17 Government's [8] -2:7, 4:9, 4:13, 6:23, 16:22, 17:7, 18:19, 21:10 grand [1] - 23:8 granted [5] - 2:22, 5:7, 18:19, 19:2 great [1] - 25:13 Greenbelt [2] - 1:10, 1:14 Griggsby [14] - 6:9, 10:19, 11:15, 12:2, 12:3, 12:18, 14:22, 16:1, 16:13, 16:17, 22:24, 23:23, 24:15 ground [1] - 22:1

grounds [1] - 15:1

guess [2] - 16:23,

guard [1] - 16:5

30:15

Guidelines [1] - 7:13 **guidelines** [4] - 11:1, 13:14, 14:20

Н

half [1] - 26:23 half-truths [1] - 26:23 hand [1] - 19:19 handle [1] - 16:1 handled [1] - 29:10 hands [1] - 21:9 happy [5] - 3:13, 4:8, 4:20, 28:14, 31:14 hear [1] - 3:21 **HEARING** [1] - 1:8 Hearing [1] - 3:2 hearing [15] - 2:7, 3:17, 3:18, 3:24, 4:4, 4:20, 4:23, 6:20, 6:21, 18:3, 28:19, 32:6, 32:15, 32:16 HEARING/FARETTA [1] - 1:8 hearings [2] - 14:11, 18:7 held [1] - 14:23 help [2] - 13:22, 14:21 hereby [1] - 34:10 herself [1] - 6:9 hesitation [2] - 12:16, 14:16 hiding [1] - 22:2 **high** [1] - 33:4 high-tech [1] - 33:4 himself [3] - 3:4, 4:3, 14:17 hire [2] - 29:18 Honor [41] - 2:9, 4:1, 4:8, 5:19, 6:14, 7:1, 7:11, 11:19, 12:10, 12:21, 13:1, 14:6, 15:15, 15:21, 16:21, 17:4, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:17, 19:22, 22:4, 22:13, 23:10, 24:19. 25:10. 25:22. 26:7. 28:11. 29:3. 29:11. 30:2. 30:8. 30:12, 31:4, 31:10, 31:16, 33:2, 33:13, 33:24, 34:1 HONORABLE [1] - 1:8 hope [3] - 25:24, 25:25, 26:10 hopefully [2] - 22:6, 22:7

hundreds [1] - 22:1 hybrid [3] - 15:19, 15:21, 16:5 hypertechnical [1] -8:21

- 1

idea [2] - 26:8, 29:23 identified [1] - 22:9 identifying [1] - 22:7 idiot [5] - 21:4, 21:12, 21:14, 21:15, 21:16 immediately [1] -16:19 implicate [1] - 25:15 imposed [1] - 17:16 impossible [2] - 6:16, 27:19 IN [1] - 1:1 inappropriate [2] -10:16, 15:7 including [3] - 10:19, 13:13, 21:6 indication [1] - 28:1 Indictment [4] - 8:10, 14:18, 24:5, 26:12 individual [1] - 24:24 individuals [2] - 6:3, 10:13 indulgence [1] - 19:11 inevitably [2] - 21:13, 30:2 **information** [2] - 21:9, 22:12 informed [1] - 25:7 Inquiry [1] - 3:2 inquiry [6] - 6:7, 11:15, 11:20, 14:5, 14:11, 17:12 instead [3] - 20:15, 24:4, 27:18 instructions [1] -10:10 intelligent [1] - 12:12 interact [1] - 10:4 interview [2] - 9:3, 10:11 intuition [2] - 20:11, 21:20 investigate [1] - 9:2 investigated [2] -20:13, 24:2 investigating [1] -

23:12

23:2

29:18

investigation [5] - 9:3.

10:7, 20:3, 21:5,

investigator [1] -

invoices [1] - 21:5 involved [3] - 13:12, 13:24, 25:17 iPhone [2] - 22:7, 29:8 irony [1] - 5:24 issue [4] - 16:12, 16:23, 28:18, 32:24 issued [1] - 28:18 issues [2] - 8:7, 27:5 itself [1] - 33:6 lvy [1] - 1:13

.

jail [7] - 22:20, 22:25, 23:19, 26:4, 28:7, 33:8, 33:14 January [1] - 8:11 judge [7] - 11:3, 14:21, 15:25, 16:14, 23:7, 23:8 JUDGE [1] - 1:9 Judge [14] - 6:9, 10:19, 11:15, 12:1, 12:2, 12:3, 12:17, 14:21, 16:1, 16:13, 16:17, 22:24, 23:23, 24:14 judicial [1] - 33:18 jump [1] - 3:11 juncture [1] - 25:8 jury [2] - 10:9, 23:8 justice [1] - 20:4 JUSTICE [1] - 1:15

Justice [2] - 2:11, 24:9

keep [2] - 28:6, 33:8 Kibbe [7] - 2:9, 3:25, 16:15, 16:20, 18:12, 18:22, 31:9 KIBBE [10] - 1:12, 2:5, 4:1, 14:6, 16:21, 17:23, 18:25, 19:17, 31:10, 34:1 kidding [1] - 14:3 kind [2] - 5:6, 32:4 knowing [4] - 20:13, 21:4, 21:7 knowledge [1] - 12:23

L

ladies [1] - 32:16 Lane [1] - 1:13 large [1] - 18:7 last [2] - 23:20, 32:25 Lauro [3] - 3:9, 15:5 law [5] - 8:6, 9:21,

hours [2] - 23:20, 28:7

house [1] - 21:18

Howe [1] - 31:10

12:25, 13:3, 13:16 lawyer [4] - 9:6, 12:23, 14:9, 16:6 lawyers [3] - 3:11, 16:10, 25:20 lay [1] - 31:23 layperson [1] - 14:12 lead [1] - 23:6 leadership [1] - 24:9 least [1] - 5:24 leave [1] - 4:10 legal [3] - 10:8, 16:4, 16:12 level [2] - 24:24, 26:19 lied [2] - 23:7, 23:8 lies [1] - 26:23 life [3] - 16:14, 16:16, 26:8 limited [2] - 3:8, 15:4 line [1] - 26:8 LinkedIn [1] - 32:21 list [2] - 25:19, 29:10 litigated [2] - 13:11, 13:15 litigating [1] - 10:25 **litigation** [2] - 2:10, 8.20 **LKG-25-6** [1] - 2:6 local [4] - 3:10, 7:22, 8:2, 15:12 look [7] - 5:20, 5:23, 15:24, 25:16, 28:14, 28:15, 31:1 looked [1] - 20:10 looking [2] - 29:6, 29:24 looks [2] - 20:12, 24:2 lost [2] - 26:4, 29:1

М

magistrate [2] - 15:24, 16:14 MAGISTRATE[1] - 1:9 **maintain** [1] - 6:10 managed [1] - 28:4 marshal [2] - 9:11, 18:18 MARYLAND[1] - 1:1 Maryland [2] - 1:10, 1:14 matter [2] - 13:13, 34.12 maximum [2] - 8:13, 14.19 mea [1] - 17:8 mean [3] - 10:3, 29:17, 29:20 means [1] - 6:1

meant [2] - 33:1, 33:5

measure [1] - 23:11 measured [1] - 24:3 meet [1] - 16:7 members [1] - 15:8 Merit [1] - 34:9 merit [1] - 17:7 merits [1] - 16:16 message [1] - 28:8 messages [13] -20:20, 22:7, 22:10, 22:11, 22:14, 22:15, 27:16, 29:6, 29:13, 30:25. 31:2. 31:12. 33:2 might [4] - 15:13, 25:15, 25:16, 29:8 millions [5] - 6:24, 21:12, 21:17, 21:19 mind [4] - 4:24, 11:16, 26:4, 29:1 mine [2] - 7:3, 21:23 misplaced [1] - 26:14 mitigation [1] - 10:20 modified [1] - 11:23 Monday [1] - 1:9 money [4] - 17:21, 20:19, 20:21, 32:14 monitoring [1] - 25:4 months [1] - 24:15 morning [2] - 2:13, 2.22 mortgage [1] - 21:18 most [1] - 14:11 mostly [1] - 18:6 motion [26] - 2:7, 2:20, 2:22, 4:9, 4:10, 4:13, 5:7, 12:7, 16:22, 17:1, 17:7, 17:11, 17:18, 18:1, 18:19, 19:1, 19:7, 20:14, 20:25, 21:4, 21:10, 22:1, 28:17, 31:17, 33:5 **MOTIONS** [1] - 1:8 motions [3] - 9:3,

Ν

N.E [1] - 1:16 Nadine [1] - 34:9 **NADINE** [1] - 34:20 **name** [1] - 15:5

10:9, 24:6

15:22

moved [2] - 15:17,

moving [3] - 23:14,

MR [9] - 2:5, 4:1, 14:6,

16:21, 17:23, 18:25,

19:17, 31:10, 34:1

26:24, 27:1

narrow [1] - 32:24 nature [5] - 8:9, 8:12, 13:9, 25:4, 32:4 necessarily [2] - 12:8, 25.4 necessary [3] - 9:2, 10:4, 18:13 need [2] - 13:20, 16:20 needs [3] - 6:8, 18:18, 31.13 neglected [1] - 24:17 net [1] - 31:23 never [7] - 16:7, 21:24, 21:25, 22:22, 24:22, **new** [5] - 21:11, 22:6, 24:8, 29:8 next [1] - 24:15 night [1] - 26:22 **nobody** [2] - 15:18, 33:11 nonetheless [1] -26:11 normal [1] - 16:2 note [1] - 11:7 nothing [5] - 3:9, 22:22, 22:23, 32:2, 33:4 notice [1] - 5:13 noticed [1] - 33:14 **notified** [1] - 25:6 notion [1] - 12:12 notwithstanding [2] -22:21, 22:24 Number [1] - 2:6 **NUMBER** [1] - 1:4 numbers [1] - 17:14

0

object [2] - 10:15, 31:14 objected [1] - 21:25 **objection** [1] - 19:16 objections [1] - 10:17 **obligation** [1] - 10:5 observation [1] - 6:23 obstruction [1] - 20:4 **obviously** [3] - 8:19, 15:6, 27:25 occur [2] - 11:24, 16:16 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:8, 1:13, 1:15, 34:5 offense [1] - 20:5 **OFFICE** [1] - 1:13 office [1] - 15:10 Office [1] - 1:21 officer [2] - 24:17, 33:18

Officer [2] - 2:17, 32:18 OFFICER [2] - 2:18, 24.19 OFFICIAL [2] - 34:5, 34.20 Okula [1] - 2:10 **OKULA** [1] - 1:15 old [1] - 32:20 one [14] - 2:24, 3:22, 6:6, 7:10, 9:4, 13:8, 17:3, 19:11, 22:9, 25:11, 27:24, 31:11, 31:24, 32:1 one-sided [1] - 32:1 ongoing [1] - 20:2 opening [1] - 10:10 operating [1] - 28:22 opportunity [3] - 4:13, 5:9, 28:25 order [5] - 2:8, 16:24, 18:18, 28:18, 32:12 ordered [1] - 10:19 oriented [1] - 13:19 ourselves [1] - 18:16 own [11] - 9:6, 10:7, 10:8, 10:9, 10:10, 10:14, 10:25, 11:7, 13:20, 15:13 owned [1] - 31:20 owner [1] - 32:19 ownership [1] - 33:3

Р

p.m [2] - 2:2, 34:4 pale [1] - 26:2 paper [2] - 8:21, 25:24 pardon [1] - 11:19 parole [1] - 8:16 part [4] - 16:21, 20:2, 23:22, 25:22 parte [1] - 2:20 particular [2] - 13:14, 32:17 parties [2] - 20:19, 20:20 passing [1] - 22:17 past [3] - 12:23, 21:16, 28:9 PATRICK[1] - 1:12 Patrick [1] - 2:9 pattern [4] - 23:22, 24:1, 25:23, 26:1 pay [1] - 21:17 pen [1] - 23:21 penalties [2] - 8:13, 14:19 pending [3] - 9:11, 12:2, 12:17

people [13] - 5:25, 10:11, 15:25, 16:10, 20:17, 21:8, 26:12, 27:17, 29:9, 29:18, 31:12, 32:7 people's [1] - 29:24 perfectly [1] - 6:19 perhaps [2] - 4:3, 31:11 perjury [1] - 20:2 person [3] - 9:5, 22:16, 25:20 perspective [1] -23:16 phone [11] - 22:5, 27:16, 27:19, 29:6, 29:12, 29:23, 30:6, 30:10, 30:16, 30:20, 31:10 phones [2] - 22:6, 29:24 piecemeal [1] - 3:11 **place** [1] - 24:24 **Plaintiff** [1] - 1:3 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:12 plan [1] - 3:22 playing [1] - 31:17 point [12] - 4:2, 4:8, 6:14, 17:13, 18:10, 23:15. 24:20. 25:8. 26:15, 27:7, 27:10, 31:16 pointless [1] - 28:2 **policies** [1] - 8:2 **position** [5] - 6:10, 15:16, 15:18, 16:2, 24:17 possibilities [1] - 16:8 possibility [1] - 25:18 possible [7] - 8:13, 14:19, 27:19, 27:24, 28:4, 28:12, 31:7 possibly [1] - 18:3 posture [1] - 5:12 power [1] - 27:14 practical [1] - 31:3 practice [4] - 9:23, 13:9, 13:16, 18:6 practicing [1] - 13:5 pragmatic [1] - 31:7 precedent [1] - 16:3 preliminarily [1] -18:15 premise [1] - 21:4 preparation[1] -10:20 prepare [2] - 9:2, 10:9 present [5] - 3:19, 5:3, 10:15, 16:22 Present [1] - 1:20

presented [2] - 2:20, 31.17 presentence [2] -10:19. 10:20 presenting [1] - 31:21 preserve[1] - 10:16 pretend [1] - 16:4 pretext [1] - 30:13 Pretrial [11] - 1:21, 2:17, 21:25, 24:18, 24:22, 24:23, 25:3, 25:13, 25:20, 26:25, pretrial [6] - 5:13, 9:2, 9:18, 9:20, 17:25, 30:14 PRETRIAL [2] - 2:18, 24:19 Pretrial's [1] - 17:16 pretty [2] - 13:16, 20:9 **prevailed** [1] - 9:19 pro [11] - 1:17, 4:19, 6:15, 12:2, 15:3, 15:16, 15:17, 15:22, 16:19, 23:14, 23:15 problem [2] - 15:25, 26:2 procedure [5] - 8:6, 9:22, 13:14, 13:18, 14:25 Procedure [1] - 7:20 procedures [1] - 8:3 proceed [3] - 15:3, 28:16, 28:24 proceeding [5] - 4:21, 16:19, 18:6, 27:23, 34:3 PROCEEDINGS [1] proceedings [3] -4:24, 9:19, 34:12 Proceedings [1] process [3] - 10:12, 10:19, 10:21 produce [1] - 10:6 produced [1] - 1:25 **proffer** [6] - 5:7, 6:23, 16:25, 17:10, 18:6, 20:11 promise [1] - 24:11 prompt [1] - 28:19 prosecution [2] -24:5, 26:4 prosecutor [1] - 23:6 **protect** [1] - 4:6 provable [1] - 20:9 prove [4] - 20:19, 20:22, 23:9, 23:17 **provided** [1] - 10:3

provides [1] - 10:1 providing [1] - 10:1 prudent [1] - 6:11 prudently [1] - 20:13 **purpose** [2] - 2:7, 31:13 purposely [1] - 11:25 purposes [3] - 6:20, 13:3, 20:7 pursuant [1] - 15:11 put [15] - 5:1, 5:3, 5:12, 12:19, 18:3, 18:13. 18:14. 18:22. 19:3. 19:4. 22:20. 22:25, 26:8, 28:7, 33:7

Q

quarter [2] - 13:7, 13:10 questioned [1] - 31:24 questions [7] - 6:6, 7:7, 7:10, 10:15, 13:13, 13:15, 14:4

R

raise [1] - 19:19

raised [1] - 16:12

rather [2] - 21:10,

23:12 reach [1] - 29:9 read [3] - 12:6, 15:8, 16:24 ready [1] - 23:16 realize [2] - 23:3, 26:9 really [6] - 3:22, 5:8, 5:20, 17:13, 20:12, 24.4 Realtime [1] - 34:10 reason [3] - 19:22, 21:1, 21:15 reasons [1] - 2:24 receipt [1] - 22:15 receive [2] - 22:17, 31:14 received [2] - 22:17, 22:18 recipients [1] - 22:8 reciprocal [1] - 10:1 recognize [4] - 3:12, 5:11, 26:6, 28:22 recommend [2] -24:20, 25:8 recommendation [1] -24:20 reconsider [1] - 18:20 reconsideration [1] -

18:1

record [13] - 6:7, 8:22, 12:9, 12:19, 18:14, 18:15, 18:22, 19:4, 19:5, 19:10, 21:6, 23:23, 31:1 recorded [3] - 1:24. 34:11. 34:12 recording [2] - 34:14, 34.15 Reed [2] - 3:7, 15:5 reed [1] - 3:12 reference [2] - 24:12, 24:13 referenced [2] - 13:12, 21:24 referring [1] - 31:12 reflect [1] - 6:8 refused [1] - 27:20 regarding [1] - 11:20 **Registered** [1] - 34:9 regularly [1] - 25:1 reiterate [1] - 11:20 release [19] - 2:8, 2:22, 3:1, 3:16, 5:13, 6:16, 11:22, 16:25, 17:12, 17:15, 17:22, 23:13, 25:16, 26:3, 27:4, 32:13, 32:17, 33:19 releases [1] - 24:23 remain [2] - 9:10, 15:6 report [1] - 10:20

reported [2] - 24:22,

25:20

34:10

15:20, 16:5

9:16, 15:3

17:18

29:20

30:20

30:24

research [1] - 10:8

resolve [2] - 30:4,

resolved [1] - 30:3

REPORTER [1] - 34:5 Reporter [2] - 34:9, represent [12] - 4:3, 6:3, 6:11, 6:15, 8:25, 11:12, 12:13, 16:6, 16:7, 29:17, 29:25, representation [2] representations [1] represented [3] - 6:1, representing [8] - 3:4, 4:19, 12:18, 13:24, 14:10, 14:17, 15:7, reputation [1] - 31:4 requesting [1] - 10:2 required [2] - 4:20,

resolving [1] - 3:23 resources [1] - 15:12 respect [10] - 13:13, 19:9, 20:14, 20:17, 20:18, 23:25, 24:5, 24:6, 27:16 respected [1] - 23:5 responsible [2] - 9:25, 10:25 result [1] - 20:4 retain [3] - 6:16, 9:4, 11:23 retaining [1] - 3:3 returned [1] - 8:11 revealing [1] - 32:18 reverse [1] - 12:4 review [2] - 4:13, 28:17 reviewing [1] - 9:25 revisited [1] - 27:6 revisiting [1] - 27:7 revocation [1] - 2:8 revoke [2] - 2:21, 17:11 revoked [5] - 2:25, 3:16, 16:24, 21:2, 33:19 rights [1] - 4:7 risking [1] - 31:4 RMR [1] - 34:20 robust [2] - 28:25, 32:5 Room [1] - 1:16 Rules [2] - 7:17, 7:20 rules [7] - 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 10:2, 14:24, 15:12

S

scholar [1] - 16:4 **school** [2] - 9:22, 13:3 **se** [11] - 1:17, 4:19, 6:15, 12:2, 15:3, 15:16, 15:17, 15:22, 16:19, 23:14, 23:15 seat [1] - 2:4 second [1] - 21:24 seconds [1] - 21:11 section [1] - 32:17 see [6] - 3:7, 22:14, 23:22, 26:1, 26:14, 28:16 seize [1] - 30:10 seized [1] - 30:11 self [2] - 6:11, 15:3 self-represent [1] -6:11

self-represented [1] -

15:3

seminar [1] - 32:9 send [1] - 21:12 sending [1] - 30:25 senior [1] - 2:10 senseless [1] - 23:14 sensible [1] - 23:12 sent [1] - 20:19 sentences [1] - 13:9 **Sentencing** [1] - 7:13 sentencing [4] -10:21, 10:25, 13:14, 20:4 series [1] - 7:7 serious [4] - 8:9, 8:25, 27:14, 31:19 seriousness [1] - 20:6 serve [1] - 10:11 service [1] - 9:11 Services [2] - 1:21, 21:25 set [3] - 13:20, 22:11, 22:14 several [3] - 21:16, 23:20, 24:15 **shackles** [2] - 23:20, 28:6 **shall** [1] - 16:7 **shared** [1] - 33:15 shoot [1] - 23:16 **shorthand** [1] - 7:8 show [3] - 25:25, 31:25, 32:1 shows [1] - 32:2 side [1] - 22:10 sided [1] - 32:1 significant [1] - 17:20 simple [2] - 26:6, 32:11 simplest [1] - 29:11 sitting [1] - 3:13 **situation** [1] - 20:16 Sixth [2] - 4:6, 6:1 **Smith** [5] - 1:21, 2:17, 5:16, 24:17, 32:18 **SMITH** [2] - 2:18, 24:19 solution [1] - 31:7 someone [3] - 9:16, 28:6, 29:8 sometimes [1] - 18:21 soon [1] - 23:1 sorry [2] - 17:9, 33:1 sort [1] - 31:1 **source** [1] - 22:12 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 special [2] - 3:8, 13:20 specific [1] - 31:12 spent [1] - 23:20

stage [2] - 4:24, 18:5

stages [1] - 4:21 standard [2] - 6:6, 27:23 standards [1] - 14:23 standby [1] - 16:8 Stanley [1] - 2:10 **STANLEY**[1] - 1:15 start [2] - 18:8, 29:24 STATES[4] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:9, 1:13 States [4] - 2:5, 2:9, 7:13, 11:8 States' [1] - 15:16 status [4] - 3:2, 3:23, 9:11, 18:16 step [2] - 3:14, 33:18 **still** [1] - 11:2 straight [1] - 20:21 strange [1] - 20:10 Street [1] - 1:16 strike [3] - 15:17, 15:22, 23:14 strong [1] - 6:2 strongly [1] - 5:25 structure [2] - 27:12, 27:23 structured [2] - 27:11, 28:12 stuck [1] - 24:13 stuff [2] - 28:15, 32:22 **submission** [1] - 19:8 submissions [1] -26:11 **submit** [2] - 19:1, 23:21 **submitted** [1] - 5:2 subpoena [1] - 10:12 **subpoenaed** [1] - 21:8 subpoenas [1] - 10:12 substantive [2] - 8:7, 8:13 sufficient [1] - 17:11 sufficiently [1] - 7:24 **suggestion** [1] - 31:2 Suite [1] - 1:13 **SULLIVAN** [1] - 1:8 **Sunday** [1] - 26:22 Super [1] - 26:22 supervision [1] -24:23 supervisors [1] - 24:8 Supreme [2] - 6:2, 8:6 surrender [2] - 22:5, 30:20 **suspicious** [1] - 20:10 swearing [1] - 22:21 switched [1] - 22:6 sworn [7] - 19:11, 19:12, 19:13, 19:20,

19:23, 20:7 system [1] - 8:17

table [1] - 3:13 taught [1] - 9:21 **TAX**[1] - 1:15 Tax [1] - 2:11 taxes [1] - 21:18 tech [1] - 33:4 tens [2] - 21:12, 21:17 test [2] - 18:4, 23:11 tethered [1] - 32:10 text [2] - 20:20, 22:10 **THE** [136] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:8, 1:12, 1:13, 1:17, 2:3, 2:13, 2:16, 2:17, 2:19, 4:12, 4:14, 4:15, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:22, 4:23, 5:5, 5:15, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:22, 5:23, 6:14, 6:18, 6:19, 6:22, 7:1, 7:5, 7:11, 7:12, 7:15, 7:16, 7:18, 7:19, 7:21, 7:22, 7:24, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:8, 8:9, 8:15, 8:16, 8:18, 8:19, 8:23, 8:24, 9:8, 9:9, 9:13, 9:14, 9:18, 9:24, 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 11:6, 11:10, 11:11, 11:13, 11:14, 11:17, 11:18, 11:19, 11:25, 12:5, 12:6, 12:9, 12:11, 12:15, 12:16, 12:20, 12:22, 13:1, 13:2, 13:4, 13:5, 13:7, 13:8, 13:11, 13:22, 14:1, 14:3, 14:7, 15:15, 15:19, 15:21, 15:23, 16:23, 17:3, 17:5, 17:24, 19:3, 19:6, 19:12, 19:13, 19:15, 19:18, 19:21, 19:22, 24:16, 25:10, 25:12, 25:13, 26:15, 26:18, 26:19, 27:8, 27:9, 27:10, 28:14, 29:3, 29:4, 29:5, 29:16, 29:25, 30:5, 30:7, 30:9, 30:11, 30:15,

30:18, 30:22, 30:23,

33:15, 33:23, 33:25,

31:9, 31:22, 33:1,

theirs [1] - 22:12

34:2

themselves [2] - 6:4, 8.25 theories [1] - 10:8 theory [1] - 21:10 thinking [1] - 21:23 thinks [1] - 3:21 third [2] - 20:19 **Thomas** [1] - 2:6 **THOMAS**[1] - 1:5 thorough [2] - 12:21, 22:13 thoughts [1] - 31:9 thousands [1] - 22:1 timely [2] - 11:7, 18:3 **TIMOTHY** [1] - 1:8 today [8] - 2:19, 2:24, 3:7, 3:22, 4:3, 17:2, 18:18, 30:6 together [1] - 2:19 took [5] - 21:18, 27:19, 30:6, 30:12, 30:16 total [1] - 8:12 totally [6] - 14:15, 17:25, 18:23, 18:24, 27:6 transaction [1] - 22:11 transactions [1] - 21:6 transcribed [1] - 34:13 Transcript[1] - 1:25 transcript [2] - 34:11, 34.14 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:8 transcription [1] -1.25 transfer [2] - 17:24, 25.17 transferred [1] - 32:14 transferring[1] -17:20 transfers [4] - 17:23, 20:9, 31:19, 32:1 treat [1] - 14:22 tremendous [2] - 7:9, 14:9 tremendously [2] -9:10, 14:9 trial [10] - 9:17, 9:20, 10:15, 10:16, 10:17, 13:19, 14:21, 18:10, 22:22 tried [1] - 25:19 true [7] - 20:1, 20:12, 23:3, 23:17, 23:18, 24:4, 26:6

truth [3] - 19:14, 26:9, 26:11
truths [1] - 26:23
trying [3] - 26:20, 31:18, 31:23
tuna [1] - 31:23
turned [1] - 26:22
turns [1] - 33:20
two [7] - 2:24, 3:1, 13:8, 16:7, 21:11, 29:21, 32:19

U

ultimate [1] - 22:8 unable [1] - 3:5 unaware [1] - 24:21 unbelievable [1] under [8] - 7:6, 10:2, 11:1, 11:15, 17:12, 21:5, 27:1, 27:2 understood [1] - 7:5 **UNITED** [4] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:9, 1:13 United [5] - 2:5, 2:9, 7:13, 11:7, 15:16 **University** [1] - 13:4 unlikely [1] - 27:4 unnecessarily [1] -28:2 unnecessary [2] -21:19. 27:13 unsettled [1] - 3:2 untrue [2] - 26:23, 33:20 **up** [8] - 4:2, 18:7, 21:21, 21:22, 25:25, 28:2, 30:5, 30:12 uphill [1] - 14:12 utterly [2] - 21:18, 27:12

W

waived [1] - 15:2

wallet [3] - 21:23, 21:24, 33:15 wallets [10] - 5:10, 6:24, 17:13, 17:18, 17:20, 20:22, 20:23, 26:25, 32:9, 32:19 wants [3] - 3:4, 4:3, 18:13 warning [1] - 33:12 warrant [3] - 2:21, 5:8, 29:22 Washington [1] - 1:16 waste [1] - 28:3 watching [2] - 21:14, 33:10 website [1] - 8:1 Wednesday [1] - 3:2 week [1] - 7:2 well-equipped [1] -12:25 West [1] - 32:10 wife [4] - 30:7, 30:10, 30:13, 30:21 wild [2] - 23:4, 24:7 Wild [1] - 32:9 withdraw [2] - 23:1, 25:24 witnesses [4] - 9:3, 10:14, 23:9 wonder [2] - 20:25, wondering [1] - 29:11 word [3] - 22:18, 30:18, 32:25 works [1] - 7:14 world [2] - 31:5, 32:10 write [1] - 23:21

V

variations [1] - 16:9 variety [1] - 13:11 various [1] - 19:25 via [1] - 34:12 view [3] - 11:21, 13:18, 17:9 viewing [1] - 18:11 violate [2] - 17:23, 32:17 violated [3] - 17:22, 27:3, 32:13 violation [1] - 5:14 vs [1] - 1:4 years [4] - 12:4, 21:16, 23:24, 26:17 young [1] - 13:23 yourself [7] - 6:11, 11:12, 12:13, 12:19, 16:6, 29:17, 29:20

Υ

Ζ

zealously [1] - 16:5

trust [5] - 22:22,

trusted [2] - 22:23,

26:19

22:24

24:24, 26:13, 26:14,